Linear Multiclass Predictors

He He Slides based on Lecture 09 from David Rosenberg's course materials (https://github.com/davidrosenberg/mlcourse)

CDS, NYU

March 30, 2021

OvA revisit

- Base Hypothesis Space: $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathsf{R}\}$ (score functions).
- Multiclass Hypothesis Space (for k classes):

$$\mathfrak{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto rg\max_i h_i(x) \mid h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathfrak{H} \right\}$$

- $h_i(x)$ scores how likely x is to be from class *i*.
- OvA objective: $h_i(x) > 0$ for x with label i and $h_i(x) < 0$ for x with all other labels.
- At test time, for (x, i) we only need

$$h_i(x) > h_j(x) \qquad \forall j \neq i.$$
 (1)

Multiclass perceptron

- Base linear predictors: $h_i(x) = w_i^T x \ (w \in \mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Multiclass perceptron: Given a multiclass dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{(x, y)\}$: Initialize $w \leftarrow 0$: for *iter* = $1, 2, \ldots, T$ do for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ do $\hat{y} \models \arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w_{v'}^T x;$ Die if $\hat{y} \neq y$ then // We've made a mistake $w_v \leftarrow w_v + x$; // Move the target-class scorer towards x gol d class $w_{\hat{v}} \leftarrow w_{\hat{v}} - x$; // Move the wrong-class scorer away from x end end end

Side note: Linear Binary Classifier Review

- Input Space: $\mathfrak{X} = \mathsf{R}^d$
- Output Space: $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, 1\}$
- Linear classifier score function:

$$f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle = w^T x$$

- Final classification prediction: sign(f(x))
- Geometrically, when are sign(f(x)) = +1 and sign(f(x)) = -1?

Side note: Linear Binary Classifier Review



Suppose ||w|| > 0 and ||x|| > 0:

$$f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle = ||w|| ||x|| \cos \theta$$

$$f(x) > 0 \iff \cos \theta > 0 \iff \theta \in (-90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})$$

$$f(x) < 0 \iff \cos \theta < 0 \iff \theta \notin [-90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}]$$

Rewrite the scoring function

- Remember that we want to scale to very large # of classes and reuse algorithms and analysis for binary classification
 - \implies a single weight vector is desired
- How to rewrite the equation such that we have one w instead of k?

$$w_i^T x = w^T \psi(x, i)$$
(2)
$$h_i(x) = h(x, i)$$
(3)

- Encode labels in the feature space.
- Score for each label \rightarrow score for the "compatibility" of a label and an input.

The Multivector Construction

How to construct the feature map ψ ?

• What if we stack w_i 's together (e.g., $x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, 3\}$)

$$w = \left(\underbrace{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}_{w_1}, \underbrace{0, 1}_{w_2}, \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}_{w_3}\right)$$

 \bullet And then do the following: $\Psi \colon \mathsf{R}^2 \times \{1,2,3\} \to \mathsf{R}^6$ defined by

• Then $\langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle = \langle w_y, x \rangle$, which is what we want.

Rewrite multiclass perceptron

Multiclass perceptron using the multivector construction.

```
Given a multiclass dataset \mathcal{D} = \{(x, y)\};
Initialize w \leftarrow 0:
for iter = 1, 2, ..., T do
     for (x, y) \in \mathcal{D} do
           \hat{y} = \arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w^T \psi(x, y'); // Equivalent to \arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w_{v'}^T x
           if \hat{v} \neq v then // We've made a mistake
             \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} & w \leftarrow w + \psi(x,y) \; ; \; // \; \text{Move the scorer towards } \psi(x,y) \\ & w \leftarrow w - \psi(x,\hat{y}) \; ; \; // \; \text{Move the scorer away from } \psi(x,\hat{y}) \end{array} 
           end w_{ij} \leftarrow w_{ij} \neq \infty
                                                                  w^{\mathsf{T}}\phi(x,y) \ge w^{\mathsf{T}}\phi(x,y') \forall y' \ne y
      end
                                                                  w^{\tau}[\phi(x, y) - \phi(x, y)] \ge 0
end
Exercise: What is the base binary classification problem multiplass perceptron?
```

Features

Toy multiclass example: Part-of-speech classification

- $\mathfrak{X} = \{ All \text{ possible words} \}$
- $\mathcal{Y} = \{ \mathsf{NOUN}, \mathsf{VERB}, \mathsf{ADJECTIVE}, \ldots \}.$
- Features of $x \in \mathfrak{X}$: [The word itself], ENDS IN ly, ENDS IN ness, ...

- How to construct the feature vector? Multivector construction: $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ -doesn't scale.
 - Directly design features for each class.

$$\Psi(x, y) = (\psi_1(x, y), \psi_2(x, y), \psi_3(x, y), \dots, \psi_d(x, y))$$
(4)

• Size can be bounded by d.

Features

Sample training data:

The boy grabbed the apple and ran away quickly . PT PD $\psi_1(x,y) = 1(x = apple AND y = NOUN)$ $\psi_2(x,y) = 1(x = run AND y = NOUN)$ $\psi_3(x,y) = 1(x = run AND y = VERB)$ $\psi_4(x,y) = 1(x ENDS_IN_ly AND y = ADVERB)$

Feature:

- E.g., $\Psi(x = \text{run}, y = \text{NOUN}) = (0, 1, 0, 0, ...)$
- After training, what's w₁, w₂, w₃, w₄?
- No need to include features unseen in training data.

He He (CDS, NYU)

Feature templates: implementation PONUS

- Flexible, e.g., neighboring words, suffix/prefix.
- "Read off" features from the training data.
- Often sparse—efficient in practice, e.g., NLP problems.
- Can use a hash function: template \rightarrow {1, 2, ..., d}. $\bowtie \in \mathbb{R}^{P}$



Review

Ingredients in multiclass classification:

- Scoring functions for each class (similar to ranking).
- Represent labels in the input space \implies single weight vector.

We've seen

- How to generalize the perceptron algorithm to multiclass setting.
- Very simple idea. Was popular in NLP for structured prediction (e.g., tagging, parsing).

Next,

- How to generalize SVM to the multiclass setting.
- Concept check: Why might one prefer SVM / perceptron?

Margin for Multiclass

Binary • Margin for $(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$:

$$y^{(n)}w^T x^{(n)} \tag{5}$$

• Want margin to be large and positive $(w^T x^{(n)} \text{ has same sign as } y^{(n)})$ • Class-specific margin for $(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$: • $h(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) - h(x^{(n)}, y)$ (6)

• Difference between scores of the correct class and each other class

• Want margin to be large and positive for all $y \neq y^{(n)}$.

Multiclass SVM: separable case

Binary

Exercise: write the objective for the non-separable case

He He (CDS, NYU)

Recap: hingle loss for binary classification

• Hinge loss: a convex upperbound on the 0-1 loss

$$\ell_{\mathsf{hinge}}(y, \hat{y}) = \max(0, 1 - yh(x)) \tag{12}$$



[discussion]Generalized hinge loss

- What's the zero-one loss for multiclass classification? $\Delta(y) \, y') = \mathbb{I} \left\{ y \neq y' \right\}$
- In general, can also have different cost for each class.
- Upper bound on $\Delta(y, y')$.

pred
$$(x, y') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underset{y' \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \langle \underline{w, \Psi(x, y')} \rangle \operatorname{comp. since of} (x, y')$$
 (14)

$$\Rightarrow \langle \underline{w}, \Psi(x, y) \rangle \leqslant \langle w, \Psi(x, \hat{y}) \rangle$$

$$(15)$$

$$A(x, \hat{y}) \leqslant \langle w, \Psi(x, \hat{y}) \rangle$$

$$(16)$$

 $\Rightarrow \Delta(y, \hat{y}) \leq \Delta(y, \hat{y}) - \langle w, (\Psi(x, y) - \Psi(x, \hat{y})) \rangle$ When are they equal? (16) • Generalized Finge loss. O-(loss margin

$$\ell_{\mathsf{hinge}}(y, x, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(\Delta(y, y') - \left\langle w, \left(\Psi(x, y) - \Psi(x, y') \right) \right\rangle \right)$$
(17)

RHS Closs) (13) 1. upper-bound of 0-1 (055 2. zero y=y

Multiclass SVM with Hinge Loss

• Recall the hinge loss formulation for binary SVM (without the bias term):

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \max\left(0, 1 - \underbrace{y^{(n)} w^T x^{(n)}}_{\text{margin}}\right).$$

f margin $m_{n,y'}(w)$ meets or exceeds its target $\Delta(y^{(n)}, y') \ \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then no loss on example n.

He He (CDS, NYU)

Recap: What Have We Got?

- Problem: Multiclass classification $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, k\}$
- Solution 1: One-vs-All
 - Train k models: $h_1(x), \ldots, h_k(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathsf{R}$.
 - Predict with $\arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_y(x)$.
 - Gave simple example where this fails for linear classifiers
- Solution 2: Multiclass loss
 - Train one model: $h(x, y) : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathsf{R}$.
 - Prediction involves solving $\arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h(x, y)$.

Does it work better in practice?

- Paper by Rifkin & Klautau: "In Defense of One-Vs-All Classification" (2004)
 - Extensive experiments, carefully done
 - albeit on relatively small UCI datasets
 - Suggests one-vs-all works just as well in practice
 - (or at least, the advantages claimed by earlier papers for multiclass methods were not compelling)
- Compared
 - many multiclass frameworks (including the one we discuss)
 - one-vs-all for SVMs with RBF kernel
 - one-vs-all for square loss with RBF kernel (for classification!)
- All performed roughly the same

Why Are We Bothering with Multiclass?

- The framework we have developed for multiclass
 - compatibility features / scoring functions
 - multiclass margin
 - target margin / multiclass loss
- Generalizes to situations where k is very large and one-vs-all is intractable.
- Key idea is that we can generalize across outputs y by using features of y.

Why Are We Bothering with Multiclass?

- The framework we have developed for multiclass
 - compatibility features / scoring functions
 - multiclass margin
 - target margin / multiclass loss
- Generalizes to situations where k is very large and one-vs-all is intractable.
- Key idea is that we can generalize across outputs y by using features of y.